

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/644,546	Applicant(s) LOVE ET AL.	
	Examiner Jean B. Corrielus	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Steven Shaw.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 May 2007

Time: at around 11:57AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14 and 19


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agrees to amend claims 14 and 19 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary in order to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)